Fulton County Superior Court

\*\*\*EFILED\*\*\*NY

Date: 7/1/2024 7:41 PM

Che Alexander, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

STATE OF GEORGIA,

VS.

CASE NO.: 22SC183572

MARQUAVIUS HUEY,
DEAMONTE KENDRICK,
QUAMARVIOUS NICHOLS,
RODALIUS RYAN,
SHANNON STILLWELL,
JEFFERY WILLIAMS,

Defendants.

Transcript of the <a href="Ex Parte Hearing">Ex Parte Hearing</a>
in the Chambers of the Honorable Ural D. Glanville
on June 10, 2024

Kristina Weaver, RPR, CCR-B-1785

185 Central Avenue, S.W.
Suite T-8955
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404)612-0525

## A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E O-F C-O-U-N-S-E-L

On Behalf of the State: ADRIANE LOVE SIMONE HYLTON

Assistant District Attorneys

On Behalf of Kenneth Copeland: KAYLA BUMPUS Attorney at Law

(The Ex Parte Hearing began at 9:10 a.m. Present in chambers were Judge Glanville, Assistant District Attorney Adriane Love and Attorney Kayla Bumpus, Court Reporter Kristina Weaver, and Investigators Antonio Long and Rasheed Hamilton.)

THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. I'm ready whenever you are.

MS. LOVE: Thank you. The concern that we wanted to bring to the Court's attention as the State was two-fold.

One, we wanted to have the opportunity to impress upon Mr. Copeland with representation present that he faces -- and we wanted to give him an opportunity to ask whatever questions he wanted to ask before he was sort of -- we had to pull him in the courtroom when the jury came out.

The second concern that I have is and I wanted to bring to the Court's attention in line with the concern that I expressed on the record on Friday, I don't know what advice counsel may have offered or may be offering. That is their right and their business.

As it relates to testifying, however, I do not believe that criminal exposure is a concern that is -- which interest is being spoken about and protected.

Mr. Melnick relayed to Ms. Hylton on Friday that he had never heard of the statute 24-5-507. And on Friday he

sent an email. I don't know if he intentionally copied Mr. Steel and Mr. Schardt. I don't know if he unintentionally put my name on it. But he sent an email to Mr. Steel and Mr. Schardt with me and Simone copied where he said: This is the communication that I received from Ms. Love regarding Mr. Copeland.

My response was: Whose interests are you protecting, yours or Mr. Steel's -- whose clients -- which client are you protecting? Whose client, yours or Mr. Steel's and Mr. Schardt's? He wrote back and said, "You are going to get him killed. You have made him -- you are making him a target. Fuck you," is what he wrote in the email.

So given that his concern is that by testifying, at least the one that he conveyed to me in writing, it's not -- his concern has nothing to do with exposure from the State. And if his concern --

THE COURT: His being whose concern?

MS. LOVE: Mr. Melnick. If the interest that he is protecting is Mr. Copeland's freedom, he did not convey it by asking us what exposure does he face in testifying. In fact, if he's concerned that testifying will get
Mr. Copeland killed and he is not communicating with us, he's communicating with counsel for defendants, it would seem that he knows a thing or something that we don't know.

Additionally, and I will let Ms. Bumpus tell you, he

has said if Mr. Copeland testifies, he's no longer representing Mr. Copeland, which is strange because if your interest is your client, then it would seem you would want to be there for him while he is testifying.

THE COURT: Well, he is on vacation this week.

MS. LOVE: I understand. That is why he has Ms. Bumpus here, and he has said what he has said.

And as I was reading the statute, the thing that is -- and I was reading the statute about this particular situation, and I was reading our rules, our ethics rules, rules of conduct for attorneys. And it is clear that if an attorney -- there were two things that were happening.

One, Mr. Melnick had told me that he wasn't
Mr. Copeland's lawyer. Mr. Copeland had told Ms. Hylton
that Mr. Melnick wasn't representing him. And then rather
than Mr. Copeland reaching out to Mr. Melnick, Mr. Melnick
apparently was in communication with Mr. Steel and
Mr. Schardt, and Mr. Melnick reaches out to Mr. Copeland
and injects himself in these proceedings.

What we were discussing was in no way a matter that Mr. Melnick was representing Mr. Copeland on, and that is where we are not allowed to speak with a person when they are represented by counsel. Mr. Melnick was not, could not have been representing Mr. Copeland on anything we were talking about because we were talking about this case and

this trial.

All that aside, we would like an opportunity for the Court to address Mr. Copeland with Mr. Copeland's stand-in counsel present -- Ms. Bumpus is looking at me like --

MS. BUMPUS: I'm listening.

MS. LOVE: With his stand-in counsel present and to allow Ms. Bumpus an opportunity to explain to Mr. Copeland everything that I have just said.

Her concern outside was what is the longest he can stay in jail. What I relayed to her is the statute says until he purges --

THE COURT: By testifying.

MS. LOVE: -- his contempt by testifying.

THE COURT: Yeah. 507 is a little bit different. So, I mean --

MS. BUMPUS: So until the end of this?

THE COURT: He can be here until the end of the trial.

MS. BUMPUS: That is what I said. To the end of trial is the longest?

MS. LOVE: No, it's not the longest. Even after a grand jury -- if a person has been subpoenaed to testify before the grand jury, and even after the grand jury has -- what is it, convened? Has left? Has left, that person can stay in until that person purges himself of contempt by

testifying. The statute literally says that.

MS. BUMPUS: Well, I'm going to look it up because it was my understanding that it was until the end of trial.

MS. LOVE: Nope. Uh-uh. Here it is. Literally the words of the statute. And so --

THE COURT: He could be in there a while. Let's put it that way. Either way --

MS. BUMPUS: I just want to be able to give him -THE COURT: I know. I know. It's kind of the
statute says, you know, that you purge, but I can't keep
him in there indefinitely. I've got to kind of --

MS. BUMPUS: Right. That is all I want to know.

THE COURT: You know, the whole thing is that he can -- he has the keys to his own freedom, and that freedom is if he just gives his testimony, then he's purged it.

MS. BUMPUS: Right.

MS. LOVE: And, you know, whatever that testimony is. We know what we believe it to be, but, you know, we don't know what it is. We can't talk about it. We don't, you know -- I mean, we don't know what he's going to say. We have an idea. We know what he's told us.

MS. BUMPUS: Yeah.

MS. LOVE: And our concern is that if his -- our concern -- I know the Court said essentially, you intimated that you -- that Mr. Melnick probably told him essentially

3

5

7

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

1920

2122

2324

25

you should testify, they are not going to -- they can't do anything with your testimony.

But what we overheard being said was, "They are going to hammer you," as if we were going to expose Kenneth Copeland to --

MS. BUMPUS: You heard that?

MS. LOVE: Yes. Yeah. Mr. Melnick told Mr. Copeland we're going to hammer him.

THE COURT: Now, to be fair, and I think Ms. Bumpus as an advocate or anybody has got to tell him he's going to face some pretty significant cross-examination.

MS. BUMPUS: Yeah. I think that's what he meant.

THE COURT: I mean, he's going to face -- he's got about ten --

MS. LOVE: So he meant the defense is going to hammer him?

MS. BUMPUS: No. Just in general he's going to be -- it's not going to be a: Hey, da, da, da.

MS. LOVE: He knew that. He had already been expressing that.

THE COURT: But, I mean, I think that if he's concerned about that, well, you know, but -- it's all in the message and the packaging in terms of I think

Mr. Copeland is, you know, a savvy person. But, you know, he's certainly going to be subject to a thorough and

sifting cross-examination.

MS. LOVE: And the other thing is that --

MS. BUMPUS: Can I just say something in Attorney Melnick's defense in response, just for the record?

MS. LOVE: Yes.

MS. BUMPUS: The reason that he doesn't want to move forward with representation, what I have been told, if he decides to testify is that he doesn't feel like he can -- he doesn't know what was said outside of his presence, so he doesn't feel like he can adequately represent him or counsel him because he doesn't know what was told to the State when he wasn't there.

MS. LOVE: But the thing is that the only thing that he should be concerned about is the criminal exposure or the exposure that Kenneth Copeland has criminally, and there is nothing. There is literally nothing. The statute is quite clear that we can do to him about -- the only thing that would put him in harm's way is a lie and a refusal to testify after being ordered to do so by the Court.

And by a lie, we don't mean, like, oh, I think you lying. You know, it would have to be, you know, something obvious, you know, because we can always impeach. But if he literally perjures himself, that's something different. We can't help that. We can't control that. We would only

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

say: Tell the truth. I don't care how bad it is, just tell the truth.

So, you know, like he says: I didn't go down to the police station.

THE COURT: And I think part of his challenge,
Ms. Bumpus, may be that you need to assure him, "Look, I'm
going to be here. And if you think that they are asking
you a question," they being the State or the defense, "is
asking you a question that's going to bring out other
crimes," that you're going to -- he's going to be able to
look to you and say, "Can I have a chance to talk with
you?"

MS. LOVE: That's exactly right.

MS. BUMPUS: Uh-uh.

MS. LOVE: See, but that's just it.

MS. BUMPUS: No, he's not.

MS. LOVE: Hold on. Hold on. Hold on.

That's just it, Judge. The problem is that there's been an assertion that people are representing --

And I'm not talking about you.

MS. BUMPUS: Yeah.

MS. LOVE: There's been an assertion that people are representing his -- that their biggest concern is his best interest, and then they are just not here? And Ms. Bumpus is saying she's not in a position to do that?

MS. BUMPUS: No, I'm not saying I'm not in a position. I'm saying that the agreement between Mr. Copeland and Melnick, who I'm standing in for, is that if he chooses to testify, I'm to get him to sign this paper that says that then discharges Melnick as his rep -- that's their agreement. I can't do nothing about that.

MS. LOVE: That sounds weird. That does not sound like something in Mr. Copeland's best interest. That sounds like protecting somebody else's best interest. "If you talk, I can't -- I ain't going to -- I'm not going to represent you," I don't even know what to do with that.

MS. BUMPUS: Well, he said he does not know what was said.

MS. LOVE: It doesn't matter what was said.

MS. BUMPUS: Right, right.

MS. LOVE: It doesn't matter. And he didn't ask us. He didn't even inquire, "What have you-all talked about?" He has been talking to Brian Steel and Max Schardt. That's it. He is not talking to us.

And, in fact, when Mr. Copeland asked to speak with us, Mr. Melnick barges in and gets between Ms. Hylton and Mr. Copeland and literally keeps us from talking to him before he gets called to the stand. And that's troubling.

MS. BUMPUS: Well, I think what happened was -- and I say this because I previously represented Mr. Copeland

previously on his other stuff. So I know when he -- this is just what I think happened.

I think how Attorney Melnick came involved was his representation of other -- because he took my place.

Copeland fired me and hired Melnick, and then this came about. And I think so at the beginning Melnick was representing him, and then --

MS. LOVE: In his criminal matter that he had, but that's resolved.

MS. BUMPUS: But when this case started, Melnick called me and told me that he was representing him as a witness at the beginning. I can assert that.

MS. LOVE: Melnick has never in life --

MS. BUMPUS: This is two years ago.

MS. LOVE: Melnick didn't -- as a matter of fact, less than two years ago when Copeland was sitting in jail, sitting in jail for something else he had done, Melnick said, "I don't represent him." He told me, "I don't represent him." And people were in the room. He was on speaker. He told me he didn't represent him.

Now he ultimately did come about and represent him, but when we were trying to get, I guess --

MS. BUMPUS: Something, yeah.

MS. LOVE: -- Copeland was, like, "He's not my lawyer. I don't have a lawyer. He's not my lawyer." And

then --

MS. BUMPUS: Are you talking about recently?

MS. LOVE: So recently Copeland said that Melnick was not his lawyer.

MS. BUMPUS: Right.

MS. LOVE: When Copeland was in jail, Melnick told me he wasn't his lawyer. But our understanding was that Melnick was his lawyer for those criminal charges. And so the person that came in and argued or at least stood in for him on his bond and then on his subsequent plea was Melnick.

But I don't know whose interest he would be representing when he's not talking to us about what we've spoken to Copeland about. He could ask us and we would tell him. You know, so I don't know why he would withdraw his representation of him. If his interest is Kenneth Copeland, then why would you pull out --

THE COURT: That doesn't make sense.

MS. LOVE: -- at the point where he would face the most peril if that is his concern? If his concern is, "I don't know what they talked about so I don't know what" --

THE COURT: And I thought that Ms. Hylton had a list of questions for Mr. Copeland anyway.

MS. LOVE: She did.

THE COURT: Well, how come you-all can't --

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

15

16

14

17

19

20

18

21

23

22

24 25

MS. LOVE: He didn't ask to see those. He didn't -and not only that --

THE COURT: Well, can't you-all share that with Ms. Bumpus?

MS. LOVE: Well, Ms. Hylton has already shared it with Mr. Copeland, and we certainly will share it generally speaking.

MS. BUMPUS: Can I read this email that Melnick sent I don't know if this will help you understand what he's saying.

It says: Hey, Kayla. I wasn't able to see Kenneth today.

He went on Saturday and tried to talk to him before his flight.

But I have talked to his family. So at first Kenneth was going to testify but has now decided to take the Fifth. He may change his mind on Monday. If he does, then he's agreed to discharge me as his attorney. This isn't out of bad feelings, but I was not present when he met with the DA's office so I can't really help him with his testimony.

And then he gave me the discharge and told me that if he decides to testify, then he's to sign this.

MS. LOVE: And that's just it. It's like you never know what somebody is going to ask. And if he's concerned about putting him in legal jeopardy, then he -- it would

seem like he would remain and allow Mr. Copeland access to counsel when Mr. Copeland is concerned that he's about to walk into an area that puts him in legal jeopardy.

MS. BUMPUS: You can't switch how he gets called?

MS. LOVE: See, that's not in Mr. Copeland's best interest. That's in the defendant's best interest.

When you say switch in how he gets called, what does that have to do with anything?

MS. BUMPUS: I don't know. Maybe he's saying he can't do it because he can't get his refundable ticket.

MS. LOVE: That is not what he said in that email to you, and that is not what he relayed to the Court. And his concerns have nothing to do with --

THE COURT: He was very much --

MS. BUMPUS: I tried to go back and watch as much as I could.

THE COURT: -- telling me what he was -- that he was going on vacation. And I said, "Well, you inserted yourself in this." I said, "Really you need to come on Monday."

MS. LOVE: So he can't force Mr. Copeland to discharge him just because Mr. Copeland decides to testify.

THE COURT: I think you are in until it's done. I mean, you know --

MS. BUMPUS: Who me?

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, that's just -- if he testifies, you've got to kind of --

MS. LOVE: And frankly, your Honor, I know that if a person faces criminal contempt, they are entitled to representation if they want it. This being a civil contempt matter --

THE COURT: Not necessarily. Anybody who's facing contempt can get counsel. I mean, you know, not anybody, but --

MS. BUMPUS: I can't stay. How long is this going to take?

MS. LOVE: It might take some days.

MS. BUMPUS: Uh-uh.

MS. LOVE: And see that's just it. And I don't mean -- I think Ms. Bumpus is put in a precarious position. She's not the one that stood up and injected herself into this. They reached out to her, and she did him a favor.

But ultimately it seems as if Mr. Melnick is stalling and attempting to delay and hamper and hinder our proceedings, and that is a problem because that has nothing to do with the best interest of Kenneth Copeland, and that has nothing to do with Kenneth Copeland's exposure if he testifies.

Just to answer the question about what he is exposed to, the statute is very, very clear. It literally says

1 that
2 Court
3 deriv
4 again
5 crime

7

8

6

9 10

1112

13

15 16

14

17

18 19

2021

2223

24

25

that no testimony or any evidence required under the Court's order or any information directly or indirectly derived from such testimony or evidence shall be used against the person in any proceeding or prosecution for a crime or offense concerning which he testified or produces evidence under the Court order.

That is saying even if he got on the stand and said --

THE COURT: He started talking about an unrelated crime, which is what the biggest thing I think he's probably thinking about is that, yeah, there's a lot of stuff they could ask me about --

MS. LOVE: Right.

THE COURT: -- that is not known to a lot of people and that -- you know, he could also invoke his Fifth

Amendment, with the advice of counsel, if it was some other particular crime. But --

MS. LOVE: But even that, we wouldn't -- even --

THE COURT: Even that, he'll still have to testify.

MS. LOVE: Literally -- right. The saw lays --

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, anything he testifies to --

MS. LOVE: -- we do this.

MS. BUMPUS: Okay. And then about the delay or stall, isn't it true or is it not true that he had a leave

filed since December? 1 2 MS. LOVE: We have nothing to do with any of that. 3 He injected himself into this. 4 THE COURT: Yeah. See the problem is --5 MS. LOVE: He stepped in. THE COURT: -- that when you get involved, I don't 6 7 know you have a leave --8 MS. LOVE: Right. You --9 THE COURT: -- and unless you filed that leave into 10 this case, you don't have a leave for my case. 11 MS. LOVE: Right. 12 MS. BUMPUS: Okay, okay. MS. LOVE: And he did not. 13 THE COURT: So he didn't have one. 14 15 MS. LOVE: Mr. Copeland's been a witness and a name 16 on this witness list since --17 THE COURT: So since he said, "Well, I represent 18 him, " I'm like, "Okay. Well, you don't have a leave that's 19 filed in our case." 20 MS. BUMPUS: Okay, okay. MS. LOVE: So he did this to himself and he did it to 21 22 But we have to -- you know, that is just where it is, 23 and I don't know that -- that's just where we are. 24 MS. BUMPUS: So, Judge, you are going to make me stay

if he chooses to testify?

25

THE COURT: I am because he -- you're his lawyer.

You're his lawyer. You're a stand-in lawyer at this point.

MS. BUMPUS: Your Honor, I don't have a problem with that. I just -- I'm not ready.

MS. LOVE: If --

about. I mean, here's the thing. I think the only thing you've got to kind of ask him about is, look, here is the questions the State is going to ask you. All right. Is there anything else that could hurt you that they might ask you about? And as long as you have knowledge of that, then I think that you are fine because the State's only going to get into this.

Now, the reason you want to know what's going to hurt you is the defendants know more about Kenneth Copeland than anybody else, so they are probably going to kind of --

MS. BUMPUS: What about if I say I can't do it? Then Melnick needs to come back, right? Am I going to be in trouble? Because I was only for Monday. That's what I was told. I was given specific . . .

MS. LOVE: If Copeland said -- I don't know what Mr. Copeland wants. It's his choice.

MS. BUMPUS: Can't he say he doesn't want Melnick?

MS. LOVE: He can say whatever he wants. It's his choice.

MS. BUMPUS: But the judge is saying --

MS. LOVE: I don't think he can be forced to have representation if he doesn't want, need, or say he wants it, because we have offered -- we have done everything to clear him of judicial exposure. He's not -- there is nothing he can say -- he could lie. That puts him in, you know --

THE COURT: Peril.

MS. LOVE: -- peril. But, you know, he can't talk about a crime that we could then prosecute him for.

THE COURT: That is involving this or some other place.

MS. LOVE: Right.

MS. BUMPUS: I understand that but --

MS. LOVE: And we can't use his testimony to go find evidence to prosecute him for the stuff he talks about.

Basically he is literally shielded.

MS. BUMPUS: I would have to agree with you that I don't think that that's the concern. Isn't that what you said?

MS. LOVE: Well, the Court said that --

MS. BUMPUS: No. I'm saying with Mr. Copeland not testifying. You said you don't think that his concern is criminal exposure, or you do think that is what he's worried about?

THE COURT: Do you think that he's fearful of testifying for other reasons, like for his personal safety?

MS. BUMPUS: Right.

MS. LOVE: That is what Mr. Melnick said to me in an email I can pull up. He said, --

MS. BUMPUS: But you don't believe that --

MS. LOVE: -- "You are going to get him killed." That's what he said. We ain't gonna kill him.

MS. BUMPUS: See, I don't know anything about that email.

MS. LOVE: We ain't gonna kill him. I can show you the email, but we're not going to kill Kenneth Copeland.

So who is he talking about? So what are you trying to do?

THE COURT: That is a collateral second and third-order effect. You know, let's pray it doesn't happen but --

MS. LOVE: I mean, obviously he --

THE COURT: -- first he has to give testimony though.

I mean, that's what he has to do. He's got to give the testimony whatever it is: Good, bad, or indifferent.

Good, bad, or indifferent, I should say.

MS. LOVE: So we would like with the Court's permission -- they haven't brought him over, and he was supposed to be the first one up, so I guess they went to get him. They might be over here with him now.

THE COURT: Is he here now? 1 MS. LOVE: I don't know. 2 3 (Judge Glanville exited chambers at 9:33 a.m.) 4 MS. LOVE: We would like to just go into another 5 courtroom and talk to him, give you a chance to talk to him, and then give you an opportunity to explain to him --6 7 THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record. 8 (Brief recess.) 9 (Judge Glanville and Mr. Chamberlain entered chambers 10 at 9:34 a.m.) 11 MS. LOVE: Ms. Hylton has been communicating with 12 him. I'd like to grab her from downstairs and have her 13 come up. THE COURT: Where is Mr. Copeland? 14 15 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: They took him upstairs to the tank in 9. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Can we bring him out to -- can 18 we --19 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Your chambers is being used -- I 20 mean, your courtroom is being used. THE COURT: Let's bring him in here. 21 22 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: All right. So let me see if I can 23 get that done. 24 THE COURT: Let's bring him in here. Okay.

you. We'll just bring him in here.

25

1 (The proceedings stood in recess from 9:34 a.m. to 10:03 a.m.)

(Mr. Copeland entered chambers at 10:03 a.m. Also present were Judge Glanville, Assistant District Attorney Simone Hylton and Attorney Kayla Bumpus, Court Reporter Kristina Weaver, Investigators Antonio Long and Rasheed Hamilton, and Deputy Houston, Deputy McPherson, Sergeant Brown, and Sergeant Hall with the Fulton County Sheriff's Department.)

THE COURT: Okay.

2.3

MR. COPELAND: Can you let him know I got a hearing problem?

MS. BUMPUS: Okay. He said can -- he is hard of hearing.

THE COURT: That makes two of us. I've got a hearing aid that's coming on the 27th of January -- I mean, of June. So I'll try and talk loud. Is it okay if I --

MR. COPELAND: Yeah. I can read lips.

THE COURT: Okay. Good, good. Mr. Copeland, good morning.

MR. COPELAND: Good morning.

THE COURT: All right. I just wanted to bring you over and let you talk with Ms. Bumpus and see if there is anything that you need to ask of your counsel or Ms. Hylton or the State or the Court before we bring you out this

1 mo 2 te

3 in time

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

morning because it is -- we would like to hear your testimony, and that is kind of where we are at this point in time.

So is there anything you want to ask?

MR. COPELAND: Well, I want to speak to you personally that I have never been truthful a day in my life until I just made this statement right now. I don't comprehend none of this stuff that's going on.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, the only thing you can give is truthful testimony. I mean, whatever you know is whatever you know. I mean, that's it.

MS. HYLTON: And what we'll say -- and Mr. Copeland and I, we have talked about this. I'm not sure what your concerns are, what concerns that you expressed to Mr. Melnick last week. But if you are -- if any of your concerns deal with being locked up for anything, you can't. Like there's nothing that can happen. The feds --

MS. BUMPUS: Well, that's not --

MS. HYLTON: It is true.

MS. BUMPUS: No. I said that's not his concern.

MS. HYLTON: If his concern is safety, we need to know that. Like that's not expressed, so we don't know what the concern is so that we can try to resolve the concern.

This is what I'm saying. Mr. Copeland and I have had

conversations before. I understand some of his concerns is potential criminal liability, hence why we gave you immunity. The federal statute of limitations, done. The state statute of limitations, done.

So there is nothing -- like I researched it this weekend again. Both those statute of limitations for what you and I talked about, which is in 2015 or before, done. You cannot be prosecuted for anything you testify federally or statewide. Immune, period.

So if that is the concern, there is none because you cannot be prosecuted. The federal statute of limitations is five years. So anything in 2015, five years would have been in 2020. Anything statewide is four years. It would have been 2019. Finished.

Next concern: What may happen in the street? You know what we have talked about, what we can do as far as living and all of that. We have had those conversations.

So I'm trying to express to you we do not want you locked up. I don't know how many times I've got to tell that to you, what I've got to say to you. We do not want you sitting in DeKalb or whenever they got you because of this.

I know you wanted to talk to me on Friday before you walked into court. We weren't able to talk. If you still want to talk with or without Ms. Bumpus, I'm here, but we

do not want you in custody.

You will not -- this order, I don't know if you kept your copy of the order, but this order says you are immune from prosecution, any of it. Literally -- Judge, you might want to close your ears -- if you confess to a murder on the stand, if we don't have any other independent evidence outside of what you say on the stand, you are immune from prosecution from what you say in court.

So, again, I don't know what was communicated to you on Friday. I have no idea. But what the State has assured you, which I have assured you in our private conversations with Mr. Long, as I'm saying in front of Ms. Bumpus, as I told you, that is what this order says.

THE COURT: And that is direct or cross-examination.

MS. HYLTON: And that is direct or cross-examination.

THE COURT: So if you are worried about what the defendants may ask you because they may know your business, but if they ask you about things that you might think you might have some liability with, you can't be prosecuted for any of those.

MR. COPELAND: I got family members watching this trial, and I don't want my nephew and them to hear the things that I may be involved in and think it's okay.

MS. HYLTON: But I think that's a separate conversation you can have with them as an honest

individual, like, "Look, nephews, I done lived the life
that I don't want you to live. Don't go through what I've
been through."

But that's not -- that's something you can have outside of being in jail because that's a better conversation you can have with your nephews in person.

But they shouldn't have to come to the DeKalb County Jail because you are being held in contempt. You can have that conversation with your nephews tomorrow in person. You can have that conversation with them. You can have that conversation with anybody. You know what's coming up this weekend. Why are we in jail? What are we doing?

MR. COPELAND: But y'all did this intentionally.

MS. HYLTON: No, we did not. You and I had this conversation, Mr. Copeland, on Friday morning.

MR. COPELAND: You knew my child birthday was next week.

MS. HYLTON: But what did I tell you? When we met with each other, I said to you -- you asked me, "What is the one thing that's going to get me in jail?" Didn't you ask me that? And what was my response? "If you plead the Fifth." And that is exactly what you did on the stand.

MR. COPELAND: But what did I tell you before I got to that point? I told you the whole time Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday --

1 MS. HYLTON: But I told you we were giving you 2 immunity. 3 MR. COPELAND: -- that I was pleading the Fifth. 4 MS. HYLTON: No, you did not. 5 MR. COPELAND: I told you every day I was pleading the Fifth. 6 7 MS. HYLTON: No, you did not, and we told you --8 MR. COPELAND: I told you my concern. 9 MS. HYLTON: And we said we could give you immunity. 10 You said your concern is what they know about you. And when you said that, I said, "Well, you know stuff about 11 them." And then I said, "Whatever your concerns are, we 12 can give you immunity." 13 MR. COPELAND: So I didn't tell you I lied on them to 14 15 get myself out of the situation? 16 MS. HYLTON: You said you were a liar. That's what you said. You said you were a liar. 17 MS. BUMPUS: Was this recorded? 18 19 MS. HYLTON: No, no. 20 MS. BUMPUS: And did he ever say that Melnick was his 21 attorney? 22 MS. HYLTON: No. This week -- when we first met you 23 in the car on Friday, we asked you, "Is Mr. Melnick your 24 attorney?" You said, "He's not my attorney. I haven't 25 even talked to him."

MR. COPELAND: No, I never said he's not my attorney. I said I haven't talked to him.

MS. HYLTON: You said he's not your attorney, you haven't talked to him. Then we continued to have a conversation. At no point did you ever say to us, "Hey, I want Mr. Melnick here. Hey, put Mr. Melnick on the phone."

MR. COPELAND: You didn't make me feel like I needed him.

MS. HYLTON: But if you needed him, we would have said -- if you would have needed Mr. Melnick, we would have said to you, "Okay, just call him."

So, I mean, that is our position. We don't want you in jail. We just want you to be able to purge yourself by testifying. Whatever your truth is is what your truth is, but you've just got to answer the questions.

We believe that your -- whatever your truth is is what your truth is. We know what you said before. I don't know what you're going to say on the stand. But what we know is pleading the Fifth is going to keep you in custody. That's what I know. Now, how you answer your questions is how you answer your questions.

I know what you said before, and I know what you said later -- I mean, I know what you said before, and I don't know what you are going to say on the stand because you and I never went into full details about any of it. But what I

don't want is you to be sitting here in custody.

MR. COPELAND: You did it.

MS. HYLTON: I did not do it. You did it by pleading the Fifth.

MR. COPELAND: You told me -- before you went to the judge with whatever the thing called you went to him with, you told me that I can't -- when I'm asked a question, I can say, "I don't recall," or "I don't know." You told me that if I plead the Fifth -- I mean, something -- why would I plead the Fifth to something I ain't do? And I said that you don't know what I did and what I ain't do, and then you was like something.

And then y'all asked for this immunity, and I was like -- it was something. And then Friday -- Thursday or Friday came, and then y'all go tell me, "Oh, we went to the judge with this so you got to testify. If you don't testify, the judge gonna lock you up."

MS. HYLTON: Right. But that was based upon what you --

MR. COPELAND: But I told y'all that.

MS. HYLTON: No, you didn't.

MR. COPELAND: You said, "Why would I plead the Fifth to something I didn't do?" And I said, "You don't know what happened."

MS. HYLTON: And I said, "I don't know what happened.

Only you know and them know", I said. But you never said, 1 "I am pleading the Fifth." You said, "What if I do this? 2 What if I do this? What if I do this?" 3 MR. COPELAND: I told you, I said I'm going to plead 4 5 the -- you said, "Why would you plead the Fifth to something that you know you didn't do?" 6 7 MS. BUMPUS: So if you didn't have immunity prior to, 8 is that what you are saying, that you feel like -- he 9 didn't have immunity prior to --10 MR. COPELAND: Immunity came Friday right when I went 11 to jail. The immunity only came after 12 MS. HYLTON: Mr. Melnick --13 MS. BUMPUS: Hold on, hold on. 14 15 MS. HYLTON: The immunity came after Mr. Melnick sent us an email saying, "My client is pleading the Fifth." 16 That was on Thursday evening at 6:00. 17 18 MS. BUMPUS: Okay. So after that, that was an emphatic, "He 19 MS. HYLTON: 20 is pleading the Fifth." Uh-huh. 21 MS. BUMPUS: 22 MS. HYLTON: We came and we got this signed. 23 MS. BUMPUS: Uh-huh. 24 And then Friday morning came. MS. HYLTON: MS. BUMPUS: Okay. 25

MS. HYLTON: So between Friday evening, Mr. Melnick reached out to us, said he represents him, he's pleading the Fifth.

MS. BUMPUS: Okay. And when was the last time you talked to him before Melnick got involved?

MS. HYLTON: We talked to him earlier that day on Thursday.

MS. BUMPUS: Okay. And then how did -- (Speaks inaudibly to Mr. Copeland.)

MR. COPELAND: He called me.

MS. BUMPUS: Okay. I was just trying to get a timeline.

MS. HYLTON: Sure. Right. So we have been talking since last Friday. So I met with Mr. Copeland last Friday I think out in the neighborhood. Then he came on Tuesday for court. We talked briefly on Tuesday. And then on Wednesday he came.

MR. COPELAND: Thursday.

MS. HYLTON: No -- I think he only came two days, so it had to be Wednesday and Thursday he came. It wasn't Tuesday. It was Wednesday and Thursday he came.

And then on Thursday, once we left is when Mr. Melnick reached out to us and said, "My client is pleading the Fifth." And at that point is when we went the next morning and got the immunity motion.

1 And then we spoke on Friday morning. I handed Mr. Copeland this agreement and let him know that he has 2 3 immunity and that anything he says we can't use. And then Mr. Melnick came, and then we had court. 4 5 MS. BUMPUS: So you are pretty much saying you didn't ask for this? 6 7 MR. COPELAND: I didn't. 8 MS. HYLTON: But we gave it. He doesn't have to ask 9 for it. 10 MS. BUMPUS: I know. I mean, he's just saying --11 MS. HYLTON: Right. He doesn't have to ask for it, 12 but to alleviate whatever his concerns were, we gave him immunity. He didn't have to ask for it. 13 MS. BUMPUS: So if he wouldn't have had this -- I'm 14 15 just saying this so he can understand it. I'm walking him 16 through. MS. HYLTON: 17 Uh-huh. 18 MS. BUMPUS: Would he still have had to testify, or 19 would he have been able to plead the Fifth? That's what 20 he's saying. 21 MS. HYLTON: If he did not have immunity? 22 MS. BUMPUS: Yes. 2.3 Yes, he could plead the Fifth. MS. HYLTON: 24 MR. COPELAND: That's what I'm --25 MS. BUMPUS: That's what he's trying to say.

trying to say he didn't ask for this.

MS. HYLTON: But he doesn't have to ask for it. Now that we have given it to him -- because that's on us. So now that we have given it to him, it's an order. He can still choose -- if he's -- if this is the road he wants to take, then he'll just stay in custody until the trial is over. And when we say the trial is over, every last defendant has been tried. Not just these six, the other ones too.

MR. COPELAND: I done did longer time.

MS. HYLTON: All right. Mr. Copeland, I'm telling you that you are literally immune from prosecution from anything else you may say. That's that.

So the choice is always up to you, but we wanted to put on the record the State does not want you in custody. What the State would like you to do is purge yourself from --

MR. COPELAND: What purge yourself mean?

MS. HYLTON: Meaning that you are no longer in custody, and the way that you purge yourself is to testify. That is kind of how it happens, and that is what the State wants you to do. We don't want you in custody. I can't say that any other different way, but we don't want you in custody. The only thing that's holding you is you refusing to testify.

THE COURT: So do you have any questions, any other questions? Basically if you invoke the Fifth --

MR. COPELAND: I don't trust -- I don't trust -- I don't know what's going on. I don't trust nobody. I trust her word, but I don't know what's going on, your Honor.

MS. HYLTON: This is your insurance. I don't know if you want to break that down to him.

MS. BUMPUS: Well, he doesn't want that.

THE COURT: But he's been given it. See, that's the challenge, Mr. Copeland, is that if they didn't give you the immunity, you could invoke your Fifth Amendment privilege.

But what the State decided is they said your testimony is worth more to them at this point in time and they really want to know what you have to say. So they have made a -- from the State's perspective, they have made a decision to give you immunity so you no longer can invoke your Fifth Amendment privilege. You have to testify.

So that's the difference in this particular scenario, is that they have taken away -- well, you can still invoke your Fifth Amendment privilege. You still can do that, but the consequence of invoking it is you will just remain in custody.

So if you testify, you get out of custody and you stay out of custody until you are done.

MR. COPELAND: And then if I don't testify, I have to stay in until when?

THE COURT: Until the trial is over. Until all the defendants have been -- I've got these six that we have right now, and then there's another six.

MS. HYLTON: No, there's another 12.

THE COURT: There's another 12 or thereabouts that are still outstanding in various forms or another.

MR. COPELAND: Can I speak with her for a second?

THE COURT: Yeah, sure.

(Judge Glanville, Assistant District Attorney Simone Hylton, Court Reporter Kristina Weaver, and Investigators Antonio Long and Rasheed Hamilton left chambers at 10:17 a.m. and returned at 10:33 a.m.)

MR. COPELAND: My question is -- I can ask you a question?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. COPELAND: So my question is -- so what I was just asking her was if you ask me a question and you feel as in I was lying about it, you will lock me back up?

MS. HYLTON: No. If you -- so you are saying you say, "I didn't talk to Damekion" -- well, no, I can't talk about that because the judge is in here.

You are asking, for example, if you said before that the sky was blue, and I asked you on the stand, "What color

is the sky, " and you say, "I said it was red," I'm going to 1 say, "No, back before you said it was blue." Is that what 2 3 you are trying to ask me? 4 MS. BUMPUS: Uh-uh. So explain the independent 5 evidence. If he says he did something, and you know he's not telling the truth, what happens? 6 7 MS. HYLTON: If he said he did something and he did 8 not do that thing? 9 MS. BUMPUS: If you believe he did not, so if you 10 believe that he's lying about that. 11 MS. HYLTON: Then no. If he admits to doing something on the stand --12 MS. BUMPUS: Uh-huh. 13 MS. HYLTON: -- and he did not do that thing --14 15 MS. BUMPUS: Uh-huh. 16 MS. HYLTON: -- I'm going to say, "Is this the first 17 time you are saying that?" 18 MS. BUMPUS: And you have independent evidence to 19 prove it wasn't him, then what? 20 Then nothing. What do you mean, like? MS. HYLTON: MS. BUMPUS: So then he will be fine? 21 22 MS. HYLTON: Yes, but --23 So you are okay with him if he got on MS. BUMPUS: 24 the stand and said things that weren't true? 25 MS. HYLTON: No. I want him to tell the truth. What

have I always said to you? I want you to tell the truth.

I don't know what's going to come out your mouth. I expect you to tell the truth.

If you say something that is inconsistent with what you said before, then I'm going to ask about the inconsistencies. But I expect you to tell the truth.

What you go on the stand and do, I don't know. I don't know what you are going to do. I want you to tell the truth. But I will deal with you not -- you saying something different in the manner that I normally do.

MR. COPELAND: If I'm saying something different, right -- so when the police question me, they done question me every time they lock me up. I don't know how many times that was. I done told them whatever different story I could think of to try to finesse my way out of the situation. I don't recall what I done told them.

MS. HYLTON: Okay. So you can say, "I don't recall." That is how that works, "I don't recall".

MS. BUMPUS: And he can say that to every single question?

MS. HYLTON: I would hope that that is not the truth because I think there are some things you do recall and some things you don't. But the things you don't recall, you don't recall. And then I will go through my process of what I do.

You can talk to him about what impeachment is. 1 won't get you locked up. Impeachment will not get you 2 3 locked up. You can talk to him about what impeachment is. If he says, "I don't recall --4 5 MR. COPELAND: What will get me locked up? MS. HYLTON: What did I tell you? 6 7 MR. COPELAND: I don't know. 8 MS. HYLTON: What you did on Friday, "I plead the 9 Fifth." If the Court instructs you --10 MR. COPELAND: So the only thing that gets me locked 11 up is pleading the Fifth? MS. BUMPUS: 12 Yes. 13 MS. HYLTON: The Court instructs you, "You need to answer the question, "you say again, "I plead the Fifth," 14 15 again you -- that's what's going to get you locked up. But if you answer the question, that will not get you 16 17 locked up. 18 MR. COPELAND: So if you don't want me in jail, why you just don't let me go after the trial over with? 19 20 MS. HYLTON: You are in jail because you are not 21 answering the questions. 22 THE COURT: Because you have been given immunity. MS. HYLTON: Because you have been given immunity. 23 24 You are only in jail because you got up here --25 MR. COPELAND: Well, what about this? I answer the

questions. You take away immunity, I answer the questions, and I plead the Fifth --

MS. HYLTON: No --

MR. COPELAND: -- to questions that I feel incriminate me.

MS. HYLTON: But then that's --

MR. COPELAND: I won't plead the Fifth to things that don't incriminate me.

THE COURT: The State can still give you immunity.

They can give immunity to whoever they want, okay, and they can make that choice. I don't even make that choice.

So if they -- like I said earlier, they want your testimony. They figure it's -- that the jury needs to hear what you have to say good, bad, or indifferent.

And what Ms. Hylton is telling you is just tell the truth as you know it and let her worry about -- I mean, even though you may have said different versions of whatever, that's for impeachment. That's not going to get you in trouble.

What will get you in trouble is you not -- is you just invoking your Fifth Amendment privilege because you have been given immunity. Or as Ms. Love said this morning, remember -- Ms. Love said if you lie, if you overtly lie about something, like if you tell a bold-faced whopper, then they can indict you on that particular

charge -- I mean, that particular false statement.

MR. COPELAND: That's what they're going to do.

MS. BUMPUS: That is what I was saying. You will be right back here.

MS. HYLTON: But if you say something that you know you did not do, like you admit to something you know you did not do, whether you --

MR. COPELAND: Like murder don't have, like, no statute of limitations, right?

MS. HYLTON: But if you didn't do it, I can't charge you with something you didn't do because I don't have any other evidence but you saying it.

MR. COPELAND: But y'all don't, like --

MS. BUMPUS: You can charge him with false statements and he will be back in jail. That is what I'm trying to say.

MS. HYLTON: But if I know that you are lying about that, I do not intend to do that. If I know right now he's lying, I know he's lying, I'm not going to charge you because I don't know your reason for doing that. But I'm not going to charge you when I know you didn't do what you are trying to say you did now. And that can be a part of this. I know you didn't do it.

MR. COPELAND: But it's up to the judge to do that.

MS. HYLTON: No. It's up to the State to bring

THE COURT: I don't have anything to do with that. 2 3 MS. HYLTON: He has nothing to do with bringing 4 That's up to the State of Georgia. charges. 5 MR. COPELAND: Can I call -- can I talk to my family, and I will make my decision right after I hang up the 6 7 phone? 8 MS. BUMPUS: He's saying he hasn't been able to speak 9 to anybody. 10 MS. HYLTON: His sister just called us. She --11 MR. COPELAND: Y'all done me wrong. Y'all just hold 12 me in the jail, don't let me get no PIN number, no nothing. 13 MS. HYLTON: We have no knowledge. We did not know 14 that you --15 MS. BUMPUS: He hasn't been able to do anything, 16 speak to anyone. 17 MR. COPELAND: The jail system down but y'all still 18 putting people in. 19 MS. HYLTON: That part I don't know. I know we have 20 been in communication with your sister. Your sister 21 actually texted Ms. Lansiquot to make sure you were okay. 22 We told her we physically had laid eyes on you. 23 I'll leave that up to the sheriffs. I don't know. 24 (Brief pause.) 25 MR. COPELAND: So what you think what she's saying?

1

charges.

MS. HYLTON: I can tell you right now --

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MR}}.$  COPELAND: I'm talking about that locking me back up.

MS. HYLTON: We would have to bring charges. There would be no incentive --

MR. COPELAND: Y'all will.

MS. HYLTON: We've already had this discussion.

MR. COPELAND: Look what you did to me? You waited until Friday and did this. I done told you every day before Friday came that I was pleading the Fifth.

MS. HYLTON: No, you did not. As a matter of fact, our last --

THE COURT: Mr. Copeland, Mr. Copeland, let's see if we can get beyond this, okay, beyond what your concern is.

You could have invoked the Fifth Amendment privilege. The State could have turned around and said, "Stop," had me -- go ahead and filed that motion and given you immunity. It was going to -- I mean, they make that decision. They decided to make it on Friday.

So even if you would have been more comfortable testifying and invoking your Fifth Amendment privilege, they could decide you as a witness, they want your testimony more than they do you invoking your Fifth Amendment privilege so they give you immunity.

They gave you immunity, so you've got to testify

truthfully as to what your knowledge of the particular incident is.

I mean, so they were going to -- they could have done that anyway. Even if they put you on the stand, they could have said, "Stop", and gone ahead and given you immunity. They could have done that.

MR. COPELAND: So can I get it in some type of writing that if I was to testify that if she feels as in I lied about anything that they won't lock me back up?

THE COURT: Let me have that, Ms. Bumpus.

MS. BUMPUS: (Hands document to the Court.)
(Brief pause.)

THE COURT: Mr. Copeland, on page 2 of the order pursuant to O.C.G.A. 24-5-507: However, no testimony given by Kenneth Copeland as required under this order or any information directly or indirectly derived from the testimony of Kenneth Copeland given by Kenneth Copeland as required under this order shall be used against said Kenneth Copeland in any proceeding or prosecution for a crime or offense concerning which he testifies under this order.

Okay. So you testify about some aggravated assault or murder, they can't prosecute you on it because that is what it says on the order.

Okay. The only thing that will get you in trouble is

this next little paragraph: Kenneth Copeland shall be subject to prosecution or to penalty or forfeiture for any perjury, false swearing, or contempt committed in testifying or failing to testify in accordance with this order.

However, it is ordered that Kenneth Copeland shall not be required to produce evidence that can be used in any other court in this state, the United States, or any other state.

So basically if you commit perjury, false swearing, or contempt committed in testifying -- that means if you just invoke your Fifth Amendment privilege -- or failing to testify, you say, "I'm not going to testify." All right. So the only two real things you can kind of get in trouble for are perjury and false swearing. As long as you tell the truth.

Now, as Ms. Hylton and Ms. Bumpus said earlier, you may have told or been asked about situations over and over again. They may have changed. What the State is asking you to do is tell the version that really is the truth. If they want to impeach you, they can do that, or if the other side wants to impeach you, they can do that. You won't get in trouble for that.

MR. COPELAND: You said I will?

THE COURT: You will not. You will not. Impeachment

is not perjury or false swearing. Okay?

MR. COPELAND: But she said I can purge myself though.

MS. HYLTON: No, no, no. We're saying two different things. Sorry. Perjury is if you get on the stand and you say just a bold-faced lie, just something that is just wrong. Say you say, "I did something", and all the other times you have said, "I have not done it." And really while it's perjury, it's really just an inconsistent statement.

Like if you get on the stand and say, "I did X," but in 2015 you said, "I never did X," that is what I'm going to impeach. I'm going to impeach you on everything you said in 2015, meaning I'm going to say, "Okay, today you said I did X, but in 2015 --

(Lieutenant Dean entered chambers.)

LIEUTENANT DEAN: We have a security issue. We need to stop for a minute. If we can stop for a second, please.

(Judge Glanville and Lieutenant Dean exited chambers at 10:45 a.m. Judge Glanville returned to chambers at 10:47 a.m.)

MS. HYLTON: I don't know what was told to you on Friday. I have no reason to want you in prison. You have spent enough time in prison for YSL, period. So why would I want that for you? That doesn't make no sense. I said

it to you before and I will say it to you again in front of all these people. It makes no sense.

MR. COPELAND: You just said I'm going to have to stay in jail until the last --

MS. HYLTON: If you don't testify. Get this part through your head. If you don't testify. That is the only thing that is holding you. That is literally the only thing holding you right now is the fact you keep saying, "I'm not going to testify" -- well, you haven't said it today -- "I'm not testifying. I plead the Fifth."

If you get up there and you -- I want you to tell the truth, like I said before. If you don't recall certain things, you can say you don't recall. That's fine. I want you to tell the truth. I don't expect you to remember every single detail from 2015. I just don't. No one here does.

But what I do expect for you to do is answer questions so we can get through this, so you can go home, be with your baby for her birthday, see these dogs deliver their babies, go talk to your nephews, and do all these other things --

MR. COPELAND: How you know about my dogs?

MS. HYLTON: Because you told me.

MR. COPELAND: Okay.

MS. BUMPUS: What's wrong?

MR. COPELAND: I'm stressing at another level like. 1 MS. BUMPUS: I mean can he -- I'm saying --2 3 MR. COPELAND: I'm weak. Man, I'm not playing. I'm dead serious, man, like --4 5 MS. HYLTON: This is what our position is. We do not 6 want him in custody. We want him to tell the truth. 7 want him to testify. That's what will get him out of jail. 8 And, you know, you have to make --9 MS. BUMPUS: If he decides in two weeks he wants to 10 testify, then what? 11 MS. HYLTON: If he wants to stay in jail for two weeks then that's -- I don't know why you would want to 12 13 stay in jail for two weeks. I have no idea. MS. BUMPUS: He just feels like he's under a lot of 14 15 pressure right this second. MR. COPELAND: Even at the jail they are just -- you 16 17 can't give me house arrest? 18 MS. HYLTON: No. You can go home by taking the stand 19 and testifying. That's what you --THE COURT: And come back tomorrow. 20 MS. HYLTON: There's no -- we keep on saying "yet" 21 22 but there is no crime. We keep on saying "yet". I don't 23 know who is telling you about a crime. There is no crime. 24 You have done nothing wrong except for not --25 MR. COPELAND: So why am I in jail then?

MS. HYLTON: Because you aren't testifying.

MR. COPELAND: But that ain't nothing wrong. It's not a crime.

MS. HYLTON: It's not a crime but you're in civil contempt.

THE COURT: Two things. Because you invoked your privilege after you have been given immunity, that is why you are in custody because -- you get out by testifying. So you testify, you get out.

That's why I was going to bring you -- why I brought you here early today, to just inquire about that. You can remain and hold your position of invoking the Fifth or not testifying, but you go back to prison -- you go back to jail because that's --

MR. COPELAND: You can't sentence me?

MS. BUMPUS: There's no sentence.

THE COURT: There is no sentence. The contempt is compliance. They want your testimony. That is all they want, whatever that may be.

MR. COPELAND: I don't know what it is, your Honor.

MS. HYLTON: So listen. You are in jail for failing to testify.

THE COURT: Failing to testify.

MS. HYLTON: Not for nothing else. There is no crime. You ain't done nothing. I don't know if people are

telling you that we are going to charge you with nothing. 1 2 We are not charging you. The State charges you. There is 3 nothing we're charging you with. You are only in custody because you have decided not to testify. That is it. Once 4 5 you decide to testify --THE COURT: Remember that word you were talking about 6 7 purae? 8 MR. COPELAND: Uh-huh. 9 THE COURT: Okay. You can purge right now, purge, 10 get yourself out of jail just by testifying. 11 MR. COPELAND: I can purge and get out of jail? 12 THE COURT: Yes, just by testifying. 13 MS. HYLTON: Not perjury. THE COURT: Purge, meaning you can get out of jail. 14 15 You can get out of jail, out of custody just by testifying. MR. COPELAND: And what about the other one, the 16 17 other word, perjury? THE COURT: That's different. 18 19 MS. HYLTON: That's different. 20 THE COURT: That's a different word, okay. 21 MR. COPELAND: That can get me back in jail? 22 MS. HYLTON: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Perjury is --24 It's not -- what you are saying is not MS. HYLTON: 25 perjury. What you are saying is what we call a prior

inconsistent statement. Your example that you gave me, what if I said I did something and I know you didn't do it and you said before you didn't do it, that's not perjury. That is a prior inconsistent statement.

(Brief pause.)

MS. BUMPUS: You've got to make a decision.

So if he starts testifying today, he's going to go home today?

MS. HYLTON: He should, so long as he remains. But if you get up there and be like -- if you get to testifying, and then we get into it and you start saying, "I plead the Fifth", we're going to be back here again.

MS. BUMPUS: But if he says he don't recall to everything, he'll be okay?

MS. HYLTON: I'm not advising that, but so long as he answers the questions, that's fine. So long as he --

MR. COPELAND: I did these crimes. I'm telling you that.

MS. HYLTON: Okay. I don't know what you are talking about but --

MS. BUMPUS: I think -- are you saying you want to get up there on the stand and say something?

MR. COPELAND: (No response.)

MS. BUMPUS: Did we find out if he could talk to his family? No?

(Brief pause.)

MS. HYLTON: I mean, if it's okay, we got the sister's number.

MR. COPELAND: As my attorney you gotta --

MS. HYLTON: Let me -- if y'all want to talk, let me go somewhere where I can't hear you. The judge is over there so just speak low so he doesn't hear you.

THE COURT: Hold on.

(Judge Glanville handed a document to Attorney Kayla Bumpus and exited chambers with Assistant District Attorney Simone Hylton, Investigator Long, Investigator Hamilton, and Court Reporter Kristina Weaver from 10:53 a.m. to 11:28 a.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Copeland, have you had an opportunity to talk with Ms. Bumpus, your lawyer, and Ms. Hylton, the State's counsel? Have you got any other questions?

MR. COPELAND: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is it your desire to give testimony today?

MR. COPELAND: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. All right then. Do you-all have some clothes for him?

MS. HYLTON: I don't know. Let me go take a look. What size are you?

1	MR. COPELAND: I can't hear you.
2	MS. HYLTON: What size are you?
3	MR. COPELAND: Little.
4	INVESTIGATOR HAMILTON: We had a large jacket for him
5	on Friday. Large.
6	MS. HYLTON: You had a jacket for him?
7	INVESTIGATOR HAMILTON: Yes.
8	MS. HYLTON: Oh. But he can't wear a large.
9	THE COURT: What is your waist size? 34?
10	MR. COPELAND: 32.
11	THE COURT: Chest, what are you, a 34? 34-36 chest?
12	MS. HYLTON: Probably 36.
13	MR. COPELAND: Not in my chest. What you mean?
14	THE COURT: Size, size.
15	MS. HYLTON: What size are you, small or medium?
16	MR. COPELAND: Small or medium.
17	THE COURT: They are going to try to get you some
18	clothes so you don't have to testify wearing that jail
19	uniform, okay?
20	MR. COPELAND: Y'all don't wanna show the people how
21	y'all did me?
22	THE COURT: Look
23	MS. HYLTON: If we can't find clothes, then you may
24	have to until tomorrow.
25	MR. COPELAND: I prefer to go in like this.

1	MS. HYLTON: I understand what your preference is.
2	MR. COPELAND: Nah, don't hide it.
3	MS. BUMPUS: Can you make him put on clothes?
4	MS. HYLTON: No.
5	MR. COPELAND: I wanna go in there like this.
6	MS. HYLTON: Fine with me.
7	THE COURT: All right. Okay.
8	MR. COPELAND: You ain't gonna try and lock me back
9	up for this?
10	MS. HYLTON: So long as you testify, we will get an
11	order to get you released.
12	MS. BUMPUS: Today.
13	THE COURT: Whose copy is this?
14	MS. HYLTON: You've got to make sure you come back
15	now. I want to be real clear.
16	MR. COPELAND: When have I ever ran?
17	MS. HYLTON: You have not. That's the only thing.
18	You have not.
19	THE COURT: Whose copy is this?
20	MS. HYLTON: That was ours. Do you want a copy? You
21	can take it though.
22	MS. BUMPUS: Okay.
23	MS. HYLTON: Do you still have yours or you threw it
24	away?
25	MS. BUMPUS: I'm going to give him both of these

things so he can have some information. MS. HYLTON: Okay. THE COURT REPORTER: Are we off the record now? THE COURT: Are we done? MS. BUMPUS: Yes, I believe so. THE COURT: Okay. We're good. See everybody downstairs in about ten minutes. (The proceedings concluded at 11:31 a.m.) 

C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E

STATE OF GEORGIA:

COUNTY OF FULTON:

I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the colloquies, questions and answers were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages represent a true and correct record of the evidence given.

I further certify that in accordance with OCGA 9-11-28(a) I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any party, nor am I financially interested in the action.

This the 1st day of July 2024.

KRISTINA WEAVER, RPR, CCR-B-1785